

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/034,732	12/28/2001	Sudhakar Bobba	03226.156001;P6864 1384 EXAMINER	
32615 7	7590 06/02/2004			
OSHA & MAY L.L.P./SUN 1221 MCKINNEY, SUITE 2800			CHU, CHRIS C	
HOUSTON, T	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/034,732	BOBBA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chris C. Chu	2815	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	: rrespondence addre	ss
THE REPLY FILED 19 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to aviginal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	S APPLICATION IN CONDITIO	N FOR ALLOWANCE	:. о а
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	V	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context o	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months offer the months.	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. Se R 1.136(a) and the appropri unt of the fee. The appropri	ee MPEP iate extension
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the ne	riod set forth in	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:	ino appear.	
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe		see NOTE below):	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elom).	ee NOTE below),	
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or		ially reducing or simpl	lifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims	14
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		·	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):		£11. *
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would to canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 		parate, timely filed am	endment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consid	lered but does NOT p	lace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were ne	ewly
7. Solution For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment (explanation of how the new or amended claims working).	s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)[uld be rejected is provided belov	☐ will be entered and v or appended.	an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•		
Claim(s) allowed:	•		•
Claim(s) objected to:	•		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 28</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appro	oved or b) disapproved by the	e Examiner.	
D. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement			
0.	Tom Th		
	TOM THOMAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM TECHNOLOGY CENTER 28	<i>I</i> INER	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

C ntinuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 10/034,732

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

- (1) The proposed amendment to claims 1, 8 and 15 narrow the claims by adding new limitations, such as "an area of the metal layer linearly extending across the metal layer is laterally disposed between the landing pad portion and both the first plurality of vias and the second plurality of vias", which raise new issues requiring further consideration and/or search.
- (2) The proposed amendment to claim 22 broadens the claim by deleting the language, such as "wherein vias in at least one of the first region and the second region are laterally peripheral to the landing pad portion", which raise new issues requiring further consideration and/or search..